UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of New Mexico

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

Judgment in a Criminal Case (For **Revocation** of Probation or Supervised Release)

Tracy Martinez

Case Number: 01:10CR01660-001JB

USM Number: 31481-051

Defense Attorney: Brian Pori, Appointed

THE DEFE	NDANT:	·	· •	
	admitted guilt to violations of condition(s) Mandatory of the term of supervision. was found in violation of condition(s) after denial of guilt.			
The defenda	ant is adjudicated guilty of these violations:			
Violation Number	Nature of Violation		Violation Ended	
Mandatory Condition	The defendant failed to refrain from an substance.	y unlawful use of a controlled	10/23/2013	
The defenda Reform Act	ant is sentenced as provided in pages 1 throug of 1984.	gh 3 of this judgment. The sentence	ce is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing	
☐ The de	fendant has not violated condition(s) and is	discharged as to such violation(s).	
name, reside		ntion, costs, and special assessmer	this district within 30 days of any change of atts imposed by this judgment are fully paid. It material changes in economic circumstances	
0146		January 8, 2014		
Last Four Digits of Defendant's Soc. Sec. No.		Date of Imposition of Judgment		
1973		/s/ James O. Browning		
Defendant's Year of Birth		Signature of Judge	Signature of Judge	
Espanola, NM			Honorable James O. Browning United States District Judge	
City and State of Defendant's Residence		Name and Title of Jud	lge	
		January 16, 2014		
		Date Signed		

Case 1:10-cr-01660-JB Document 123 Filed 01/16/14 Page 2 of 3

AO 245D (Rev. 12/10) Judgment in a Criminal Case for Revocations Sheet 2 Imprisonment

Judgment Page 2 of 3

Defendant: Tracy Martinez

Case Number: 01:10CR01660-001JB

IMPRISONMENT

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of 11 months.

The Court will not reimpose a term of supervised release.

Although advisory, the Court has considered the Guidelines and, in arriving at its sentence, has taken account of the Guidelines with other sentencing goals. Specifically, the Court has considered the Guidelines` sentencing range established for the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of Defendant. The Court believes that the Guidelines` punishment is appropriate for this sort of offense. Therefore, the sentence in this judgment is consistent with a guideline sentence. The Court has considered the kind of sentence and range established by the Guidelines. The Court believes that a sentence of 11 months reflects the seriousness of the offense, promotes respect for the law, provides just punishment, affords adequate deterrence, protects the public, avoids unwarranted sentencing disparities among similarly situated defendants, effectively provides the Defendant with needed education or vocational training and medical care, and otherwise fully reflects each of the factors embodied in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a). The Court also believes the sentence is reasonable. The Court believes the sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act.

×	The court makes these recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:
	The Court recommends the Santa Fe County Adult Detention Center, if eligible.
	The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district: at on as notified by the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons: before 2 p.m. on as notified by the United States Marshal as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office.
	RETURN
I hav	e executed this judgment as follows:
	ndant delivered on to with a certified copy of this judgment.
	LINITED STATES MADSHAI
	UNITED STATES MARSHAL

Case 1:10-cr-01660-JB Document 123 Filed 01/16/14 Page 3 of 3

By

DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL